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Part 1:
What do we mean by inequality?
- Inequalities in what? Between whom?

Part 2:
Why do we care about it?
- What arguments are made for / against intervention?
- What can economic research tell us?

Part 3:
How can we measure inequality? What do we know about it?
- There are many measures, which give us different insights about inequality
What do we mean by inequality?
Inequality in what/ between whom?

In what?
- Earnings
- Disposable income
- Wealth
- Health/ well-being
- Opportunity
- ...

Between whom?
- Between-group inequality
- Interpersonal / interhousehold inequality
- Over what population?
Examples

- Black people in UK were over 4x more likely to die from Coronavirus than white people, adjusting for age (ONS, 2021a)

- At beginning of 2020, 9% of children in the UK did not have access to a computer at home (Ofcom, 2020)

- The wealthiest 10% in the UK own almost 45% of the wealth\(^1\)

\(^1\)Author’s calculations using WAS
A controversial topic...
Inequality: cause for concern?

See Satz and White (2021) ‘What is wrong with inequality?’
Why might we not want to reduce inequality?

Meritocracy / Fairness of inequality

- Inequality due to unequal rewards for unequal contributions?
  - E.g. Some people choose to work more than others

Equity-efficiency trade-off (e.g. Okun, 1975)

- Redistributive measures may reduce efficiency – wedges between prices
- Inequalities might motivate innovation / entrepreneurship
- Though other measures to reduce inequality may increase efficiency
Why care about inequality? Why should government intervene to reduce it?

- Because of its causes?
  - Historical injustice
  - Market failure

- Because of its consequences?
  - Preferences for equality / impact on welfare
  - Political-economy arguments
Reasons for intervention – causes I

- Historical injustice
  - Discrimination, colonialism
    - E.g. discrimination in housing market against African-Americans in 20th century -> wealth inequality today (Satz and White, 2021)
  - Possible policy responses:
    - Redistribution, reparations
    - Positive discrimination
  - Equity-efficiency trade-off?
Reasons for intervention – causes II

- Market failure
  - Market power
    - Monopoly / oligopoly (e.g. De Loecker et al 2017)
    - Monopsony power in labour market (e.g. Abel et al 2018)
  - Imperfect information
    - Some risks cannot be insured privately, e.g. unemployment or health shocks

- Possible policy responses:
  - Target underlying market imperfections
  - Minimum wages, collective bargaining, mandatory health insurance
  - Redistribution
 Reasons for intervention – consequences I

Welfare

- Inequality may be harmful to welfare
  - May be pure preferences for equality – equality entering the utility function (e.g. Fehr and Schmidt, 1999)
    \[ u_i(x_i, \tilde{x}_j) = x_i - \frac{\alpha_i}{n-1} \sum_{j \neq i} \max\{x_j - x_i, 0\} - \frac{\beta_i}{n-1} \sum_{j \neq i} \max\{x_i - x_j, 0\} \]
  - Can examine with polling / experiments (e.g. Stantcheva 2021)
  - Or inequality may transform people’s capability for wellbeing (e.g. Sen 1983)
  - In these ways inequality might be considered an externality.
  - Increase fairness and efficiency by intervention?
Political influence

- Inequality in certain resources causes unequal influence in the democratic process

- E.g.
  - relationship between party donations and House of Lords membership (The 2018 Democratic Audit)
  - richer people might find it easier to run for office

More inequality

- Today’s inequality of outcome might -> tomorrow’s inequality of opportunity
Inequality: cause for concern?
Measuring inequality

Inequality: what do we know, and why do we care?
Between-group inequalities

- Can simply compare outcomes for different groups,
  - E.g. Compare Covid mortality rates of black people and white people (amongst a specific age group)

- Might run a regression to deal with multiple dimensions of inequality
  - E.g. Covid mortality example from earlier, ONS (2020) used*
    \[ p_i = S(\alpha + \sum_j \beta_j e_{j,i} + \sum_k \gamma_k x_{k,i}) \]
    - \( e_j \) are ethnicity dummies
    - \( x_k \) are controls for age, region, rural/urban, deprivation, household composition, socio-economic status, education and health

* \( S(x) = \frac{e^x}{e^x + 1} \)
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Lorenz curve

\[ L(s) = \frac{\int_0^s CDF_x^{-1}(q)\,dq}{\int_0^1 CDF_x^{-1}(q)\,dq} \]

Gini = \frac{A}{A + B}

Source: Author’s calculations using HBAI

Note: Income here is household income, net of taxes and benefits, equivalised for household size
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Gini coefficient

\[ G = \frac{A}{A+B} = \frac{\sum_i \sum_j |x_i - x_j|}{2n^2 \bar{x}} \]

- Takes account of the whole income distribution
- But only a summary measure
  - Sensitive to extremes
  - \( \therefore \) Complicated to estimate
Gini – UK Household Income

Source: Author’s calculations using HBAI

Note: Income here is household income, net of taxes and benefits, equivalised for household size
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Income Shares

Lorenz Curve

Source: Author’s calculations using HBAI
Note: Income here is household income, net of taxes and benefits, equivalised for household size
Income Shares and Percentile Ratios

- Income shares (e.g. Top 1%’s share of income)
  - Good for understanding inequality “at the top”
  - Focus on a specific part of the distribution
  - Again, difficult to estimate from survey data
Percentile Ratios

Household Income Distribution

Source: Author’s calculations using HBAI

Note: Income here is household income, net of taxes and benefits, equivalised for household size

© Institute for Fiscal Studies
Income Shares and Percentile Ratios

- Income shares (e.g. Top 1%’s share of income)
  - Good for understanding inequality “at the top”
  - Focus on a specific part of the distribution
  - Again, difficult to estimate from survey data

- Percentile ratios (e.g. 90:10, 50:10)
  - Summarise inequality at different parts of income distribution
  - Usually used to focus on the middle of the distribution
Percentile Ratios

Lorenz curve for income

Source: Author’s calculations using HBAI

Note: Income here is household income, net taxes and benefits, equivalised for household size
More measures of inequality

Source: Bourquin et al (upcoming)

Note: Income is measured as total household income net of taxes and benefits and is adjusted for household size.
Relative Poverty

Household Income Distribution

Source: Author’s calculations using HBAI
Note: Income here is household income, net taxes and benefits, equivalised for household size
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Relative Poverty II

- Fraction living below 60% of contemporaneous median income
  - Before or after subtracting housing costs

- Summary measure of lower end inequality

- Gets at idea that sufficiency for an individual’s standard of living defined relative to rest of population

- But 60% is an arbitrary cut-off
Relative Poverty III

Source: Author’s calculations using HBAI
Note: Income here is household income after deducing housing costs, net taxes and benefits, equivalised for household size
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Various measures of inequality

- Between groups
  - Simple cross-tabulation
  - Regression

- Interpersonal / interhousehold
  - Gini coefficient
  - Top X% share
  - Percentile ratios
  - Relative poverty

Different measures give us different insights, but on all measures income inequality in the UK has increased in the last 60 years.
Conclusions

Inequality: what do we know, and why do we care?
Conclusions

- People are concerned about a huge range of inequalities

- A range of arguments for and against reducing inequality, most contingent on claims about inequalities’ causes / consequences

- There are many ways of measuring inequality, and different measures give different insights into how inequalities have changed

- Over the last 60 years, income inequality has increased in the UK
  - But not since the financial crisis on most measures
  - Apart from at the very top, this was mostly in the 1980s
Inequalities in the 21st Century: the IFS Deaton Review

How are different kinds of inequality related, which matter most, what are the big forces that combine to create them, and what is the right mix of policies to tackle them?

- An interdisciplinary panel of world leaders in economics, sociology, demography, philosophy, political science and epidemiology chaired by Nobel Laureate Sir Angus Deaton
- Initial research found online
- https://www ifs org uk/inequality/
Some interesting resources

Your household’s income: Where do you fit in?
https://www.ifs.org.uk/tools_and_resources/where_do_you_fit_in

Richard Blundell and Xiaowei Xu. Covid-19: the impacts of the pandemic on inequality (60mins)
https://www.ifs.org.uk/publications/14878

How “economic despair” affects high school graduation rates for America’s poorest students (2 mins)
https://youtu.be/wIHjPRho4A4

David Autor – Did China eat America’s jobs? (40 mins)
http://freakonomics.com/podcast/china-eat-americas-jobs/

Ezra Klein and Matt Yglesias discuss US inequality and the rise in market power (50 mins)
Some interesting resources

Global data sources:

Gapminder (world income distributions) https://www.gapminder.org/

World Inequality Database (income shares, 90:10 ratios) https://wid.world/

Chartbook of Economic Inequality (disposable income) https://www.chartbookofeconmicinequality.com/
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