## Empirical predictions from theory

The retailer's decision depending on five parameters:
(1) ariv, the strength of the rivalrous capture effect of adverting
(2) $a_{\text {ind }}$, the strength of the industry expansion effect of advertising
(3) $s$, retailer size
(4) $V$, the consumers' willingness-to-pay for the product (relative to production costs)
(5) $a_{r e t}$, and the strength of rivalrous capture at inter-store level, i.e. the degree to which having heavily advertised brands on offer attracts consumers into the store at the expense of competitors with a less favourable product selection

## Data

Brand shares, supermarket size

- Kantar Worldpanel; all purchases of "fast moving consumer goods" brought into the home
- rolling panel of around 25,000 households; daily 2002-2010
- products identified as: Branded, Standard Own-brand, Budget Own-brand
- Large supermarkets: Asda, Morrisons, Sainsburys, Tesco
- Small supermarkets: Budgens, Coop, Iceland, Netto, Somerfield Waitrose
- Specialty stores: Aldi, Lidl, Marks + Spencer

Advertising expenditure

- A.C. Nielsen Digest of Advertising;
- all advertising expenditure in the UK
- includes ads on TV, radio, in the press, on billboards and online
- monthly 2002-2010; by brand


## Share of own-brand sales

- Main variation is across products
- and with different types of stores following different strategies
- Very constant over time
- And broadly similar across similar types of stores


## Quantity share for some market sectors

|  | Own-brand |  |  | Expend |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Branded | Standard | Budget | $(£ \mathrm{~m})$ |
| Fruit and Vegetables | 0.008 | 0.848 | 0.145 | 4.2 |
| Bakery Products - Chilled | 0.038 | 0.912 | 0.050 | 0.3 |
| Meat+ Poultry+Fish | 0.150 | 0.493 | 0.356 | 4.9 |
| Dairy Products | 0.273 | 0.524 | 0.204 | 6.4 |
| Household and Cleaning | 0.395 | 0.354 | 0.251 | 2.9 |
| Bread | 0.424 | 0.481 | 0.095 | 1.9 |
| Drinks - Fizzy | 0.473 | 0.357 | 0.170 | 2.1 |
| Tiiletries - Healthcare | 0.482 | 0.494 | 0.025 | 0.7 |
| Pickles/Sauces and Ketchup | 0.581 | 0.286 | 0.134 | 0.5 |
| Biscuits | 0.599 | 0.206 | 0.194 | 1.5 |
| Toiletries - Oralcare | 0.677 | 0.277 | 0.046 | 0.5 |
| Washing powder | 0.715 | 0.196 | 0.089 | 0.6 |
| Drinks - Hot | 0.778 | 0.153 | 0.069 | 1.1 |
| Alcohol | 0.784 | 0.157 | 0.059 | 4.7 |
| Crisps | 0.816 | 0.134 | 0.051 | 1.0 |
| Toiletries - Haircare | 0.822 | 0.150 | 0.028 | 0.5 |
| Confectionery | 0.835 | 0.105 | 0.060 | 1.7 |

## Quantity share by fascia

|  | Own-brand |  |  | Expend |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
|  | Branded | Standard | Budget | $(\mathbf{\text { (m) }}$ |

## Estimating impact of advertising

Advertising is rivalrous if,

$$
\frac{\partial s h r_{i t}}{\partial a_{j t}}<0
$$

it is expansionary if

$$
\frac{\partial Q_{t}}{\partial a_{j t}}>0
$$

$q_{i t}$ : quantity of product i
$Q_{t}$ : market size
$a_{i t}$ : advertising
$s h r_{i t}=\frac{q_{i t}}{Q_{t}}$

We estimate
(1)

$$
q_{i t}=\beta_{1} p_{i t}+\beta_{2} \bar{p}_{j t}+\gamma_{1} a_{i t}^{1 / 2}+\gamma_{2} \bar{a}_{j t}^{1 / 2}+\eta_{i}+\tau_{t}+e_{i t}
$$

(2)

$$
s_{i t}=\beta_{1}^{s} p_{i t}+\beta_{2}^{s} \bar{p}_{j t}+\gamma_{1}^{s} a_{i t}^{1 / 2}+\gamma_{2}^{s} \bar{a}_{j t}^{1 / 2}+\eta_{i}^{s}+\tau_{t}^{s}+e_{i t}^{s}
$$

(3)

$$
Q_{t}=\beta^{v} \bar{P}_{t}+\gamma^{v} \bar{a}_{t}^{1 / 2}+\tau_{t}^{v}+e_{i t}^{v}
$$

$p_{i t}$ : price
$a_{i t}$ : advertising shr $r_{i t}$ : quantity share $Q_{t}$ : market size
$\bar{p}_{j t}$ : mean rival price
$a_{j t}^{1 / 2}$ : sum of square root of rival advertising
$\eta_{i}$ : are product effects
$\tau_{t}$ : time trend + month effects

Advertising cross-elasticity has predatory and expansionary effect

$$
\begin{aligned}
\epsilon_{i j}^{a} & =\frac{a_{j}}{q_{i}} \frac{\partial q_{i}}{\partial a_{j}}=a_{j} \frac{\sum q_{i}}{q_{i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial a_{j}}\left(\frac{q_{i}}{\sum q_{i}}\right)+\frac{a_{j}}{\sum q_{i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial a_{j}}\left(\sum q_{i}\right) \\
& =\epsilon_{i j}^{a p}+\epsilon_{j}^{a g}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\epsilon_{i j}^{a p}$ is the predatory effect of advertising by $j$ on product $i$
$\epsilon_{j}^{a g}$ is the expansionary effect of advertising by $j$
$\epsilon_{i j}^{a p}=\frac{a_{j}}{s_{i}} \frac{\partial s_{i}}{\partial a_{j}}$
$\epsilon^{a g}=\frac{a_{t}}{Q_{t}} \frac{\partial Q_{t}}{\partial a_{t}}$

## Example: Confectionery products

| Firm; Brand | Selected | Market <br> share | Months of <br> zero adv | Adv <br> exp. | Rival's <br> adv exp. | Price |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Asda Stores Ltd; Asda | 0 | 0.038 | 0.528 | 57025 |  | 3.82 |
| Cadburys; Cadburys Creme Egg | 0 | 0.013 | 0.581 | 214776 |  | 6.99 |
| Cadburys; Cadburys Dairy Milk | 1 | 0.093 | 0.179 | 512331 | 629349 | 6.11 |
| Cadburys; Cadburys Roses | 0 | 0.018 | 0.792 | 39032 |  | 6.46 |
| Dunhills P L C; Haribo | 1 | 0.039 | 0.104 | 210930 | 930750 | 3.98 |
| J Sainsburys; Sainsbury | 0 | 0.023 | 0.566 | 63693 |  | 4.20 |
| Lidl UK GMBH; Lidl | 0 | 0.011 | 0.953 | 261 |  | 3.64 |
| Marks and Spencer; M+S | 0 | 0.011 | 0.868 | 14109 |  | 9.58 |
| Mars; Galaxy | 0 | 0.031 | 0.047 | 417558 |  | 6.50 |
| Mar; Maltesers | 0 | 0.024 | 0.594 | 166792 |  | 8.05 |
| Mars; Mars Bar | 1 | 0.041 | 0.132 | 282051 | 859629 | 4.21 |
| Mars; Mars Celebrations | 0 | 0.017 | 0.604 | 131394 |  | 6.89 |
| Mars; Milky Way | 0 | 0.010 | 0.651 | 44267 |  | 6.25 |
| Mars; Snickers | 0 | 0.013 | 0.623 | 71995 |  | 4.57 |
| Morrisons Ltd; Morrisons | 0 | 0.014 | 0.660 | 41739 |  | 3.56 |
| Nestle Confectionery; Aero | 0 | 0.014 | 0.500 | 182632 |  | 7.74 |
| Nestle Confectionery; Kit Kat | 0 | 0.017 | 0.104 | 617380 |  | 6.01 |
| Nestle Confectionery; Quality Street | 1 | 0.024 | 0.509 | 86822 | 1054858 | 6.37 |
| Nestle Confectionery; Rowntrees | 0 | 0.019 | 0.500 | 116861 |  | 6.10 |
| Nestle Confectionery; Smarties | 0 | 0.012 | 0.387 | 109674 |  | 7.00 |
| Swizzels Matlow; Swizzels | 1 | 0.013 | 0.604 | 1333 | 1140347 | 4.95 |
| Tesco Food Stores Ltd; Tesco | 0 | 0.048 | 0.623 | 40637 |  | 4.00 |
| Trebor Bassett Ltd; Bassetts | 1 | 0.023 | 0.811 | 48212 | 1093468 | 4.60 |
| Trebor Bassett Ltd; Maynards | 0 | 0.010 | 0.708 | 87043 |  | 5.97 |
| Trebor Bassett Ltd; Trebor | 0 | 0.011 | 0.538 | 139948 |  | 5.90 |

## Confectionery estimates

Own-price elasticity
Cross-price elasticity
0.780

Own-advertising elasticity Cross-advertising elasticity

Predatory advertising elasticity
Expansionary advertising elasticity
Number of brands
0.323
-0.046
0.027

6 $\epsilon_{j}^{a g}$

## Summary

|  | Price Elasticity |  | Advertising Elasticity |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Own | Cross | Own | Cross | Pred | Exp |
| of Brands |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Large supermarkets




## Small supermarkets, high V



## Small supermarkets, low $a_{\text {ret }}$



## Summary and further work

- document patterns in product offering across large range of products and stores
- main variation is across products and across types of stores
- develop a model that seeks to explain this variation by primatives of industry, nature of demand and nature of advertising
- Further work
- theory
- link between theory and empirics
- estimate demand parameters for more products
- deal with some econometric issues
- ...

